

# Modes and their meaning

Manuel Hermenegildo, Jose F. Morales, Joachim Schimpf,  
Theresa Swift, David Warren, Jan Wielemaker, ...

September 12, 2025 @ ICLP/LOPSTR/PPDP, Rende, Italy

## What do we mean by modes?

- ▶ Modes of a predicate: ways in which it can be called.  
E.g., `length(+,-)` and `length(-,+)`
- ▶ We concentrate on these special terms:  
`+, -, ?, @, etc.` or `+list, -list, ?list, @list, etc.`  
which we call argument modes (or ModeSpecs)
- ▶ Predicate modes can be expressed in different ways:
  - ▶ Classical **mode declarations** (from Dec10/Quintus Prolog):  
`:- mode length(+,-). % Computes the length of a list.`
  - ▶ In **comments**:  
`% length(+list,-int): Computes the length of a list.`
  - ▶ In **machine readable comments**, to generate documentation:  
`%! length(+list,-int): Computes the length of a list.`
  - ▶ In **assertions**:  
`:- pred length(+list,-int) # "Computes the length of a list."`

## Focus for now

- ▶ Focus (at least initially) on the terms for argument modes.
- ▶ Leave open names of the types or properties (list, int, etc.)
- ▶ Eventually extend to cover, e.g., determinism and non-failure.

# Is there a problem with argument modes?

- ▶ Not issue traditionally - perhaps because only used for doc?
  - ▶ But some issues, specially if objective is precise documentation, optimizations, verification...
- ▶ E.g., what is the **real** meaning of - in: `:- mode length(+,-).`

**ISO** Variable that will be instantiated if the goal succeeds

**SICStus3** Same as ISO.

**SICStus4** Argument is an output argument. Usually, but not always, this implies that the argument should be uninstantiated.

**SWI** Argument is an output argument. It may or may not be bound at call-time (and acknowledges the problem.)

**ECLiPSe** Slightly different depending on use (& used for optimization).

**Ciao** Needs precision (c.f. verification) and addresses it by making modes *user definable* and *expanding them to assertions*.

- ▶ In PIP: XSB, GNU, LogTalk, etc.

## We will use the following notation (from Ciao):

```
:- modedef <argument mode symbol>(<variable>)
  [   : <call properties>   ]
  [ => <success properties> ]
  [   # "<comment>"        ] .
```

*<argument mode symbol>* +, -, @, etc.

*<call properties>* is a property or conjunction of properties that must hold for that argument at call time.

*<success properties>* is a property or conjunction of properties that must hold for that argument at success time.

*<comment>* documentation string.

- ▶ Example: `:- modedef +(A) : nonvar(A) => nonvar(A).`
- ▶ All fields within [...] are optional.
- ▶ Properties: nonvar/1, var/1, ground/1, etc.

# Summary of proposal for basic modes (WIP)

- ▶ Philosophy:

- ▶ stay as close as possible to ISO
- ▶ clarify where it may be ambiguous
- ▶ add new useful modes as needed

- ▶ Basic modes:

```
:- modedef +(A) : nonvar(A).  
:- modedef ++(A) : ground(A).  
:- modedef ?(_).  
:- modedef -(A) : var(A) => nonvar(A).  
:- modedef -(A) : ivar(A) => nonvar(A).
```

- ▶ Modes that are more 'documentation oriented':

```
:- modedef @ (A) + not_further_inst(A).  
:- modedef -+(A) + steadfast(A). % or =
```

- ▶ Others: : (for meta-arguments), ! (for mutables), etc.

## Summary of proposal for parametric modes (WIP):

```
:- modedef +(P,A)  : (nonvar(A),P(A)).  
:- modedef ++(P,A)  : (ground(A),P(A)) => ground(A).  
:- modedef ?(P,A)  :: P(A).  
:- modedef -(P,A)  : var(A) => (nonvar(A),P(A)).  
:- modedef @(P,A)  :: P(A) + not_further_inst(A).  
:- modedef -+(P,A)  :: P(A) + steadfast(A). % Or =
```

## Some examples of additional parametric modes:

```
:- modedef in(P,A)  : (ground(A),P(A)) => ground(A). % ++  
:- modedef out(P,A) : var(A) => (ground(A),P(A)).  
:- modedef go(P,A)  => (ground(A),P(A)).
```

Here :: refers to 'type compatibility'.

# Thanks!

- ▶ URL:  
<https://prolog-lang.org/ImplementersForum/0103-modes.html>
- ▶ Status: draft
- ▶ Discourse (public, please participate!)